BARRIERS TO ROTATION AND NITROGEN INVERSION IN HYDRAZINES Michael J.S. **Dewar and W. Brian Jennings**  Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, U.S.A. (Received in USA 14 October 1969; received in UK for publication 29 December 1969)

The barriers to rotation, and to inversion of nitrogen, in derivatives of hydrazine are of current interest<sup>1</sup>. In a recent communication<sup>2</sup> we showed that the barriers to rotation about the NN bond in such compounds can be significant, and also that significant barriers exist to inversion of nitrogen, contradicting conclusions reached from *a* study of the nicrowave spectrum of hydrazine but in agreement with recent MO calculations  $^3$ . Recently Fletcher and Sutherland  $^4$ have reported studies of the NMR snectra of various tetra-alkylhydrazines, which they took as evidence that the barriers to rotation are greater than those to inversion: these arguments, though strong, were **not** conclusive.

This ambiguity can be resolved by examining the methylene proton signals in the NMR spectra of compounds of the type  $(PhCH_2)_{2}NNR_{2}$ . With the accepted<sup>5</sup> gauche conformation of the amino groups, the two henzyl groups can he nonequivalent if, and only if, both rotation ahout the NN hond, and inversion of NR<sub>2</sub> are slow on the NMR time-scale. On the other hand the protons of a given henzylic methylene can be non-equivalent if, and only if, inversion of the adjacent nitrogen atom is slow. Examination of the proton NMR spectra of such compounds therefore allows the relative rates of inversion and rotation to he compared.

The proton NMR spectrum\* of tetrabenzylhydrazine (I) (Figure 1) exhibits a sharp singlet for the methylene protons at room temperature. As the temperature is lowered, **the** signal hroadens, and below -100' it splits into two **compo**nents with unequal intensities (ca 1:3). At -120° the signal at low field is

339



Figure 1. Proton NMR spectra of tetrabenzylhydrazine (I) in CHFCl<sub>2</sub> (5%  $w/v$ )

**a** broadened doublet, the ratio of this to the unresolved component at hiqh field being 1:3. This suggests very strongly that both rotation and inversion have become slow on the NMR time scale, the molecule beinq frozen in the qauche conformation (II). In II there are two pairs of non-equivalent benzyl groups, i.e. "inner" (a) and "outer" (b); if nitrogen inversion is slow, the geminal protons of each methylene are also diastereotopic. The snectrum should then consist of two separate AB quartets, one for each tyne of henzyl groun; the ohserved spectrum (Figure 1) is consistent with this prediction if one AR quartet is widely spaced (ca 1 ppm), the other closely, the unresolved hiqh field signal corresponding to a superposition of the close AR quartet and one nair of lines from the widely spaced one,

If so, the fact that the siqnal broadens asymnetrically indicates that rotation is slower than inversion; for the henzyl groups can become nonequivalent only if both inversion and rotation are slow. If inversion were slower than rotation, the henzyl groups would remain equivalent in a temperature range where the protons of each methylene become non-equivalent; the proton signals

<sup>\*</sup> All spectra were determined at 100 MHz on a Varian HA-100 spectrometer. Temperatures were measured using a callibrated Conner-constantan thermocounle,

would then first split into a single symmetrical AB quartet. This behaviour was indeed observed\*\* in the case of 1,1-dibenzylhydrazine $^2$  where inversion of the  $NH<sub>2</sub>$  group is likely to be rapid through proton tunnelling. Slow rotation alone cannot make the benzyl groups of I non-equivalent; if therefore rotation is slower than inversion, lowerinq the temperature will simultaneously both make the benzyl qroups non-equivalent, and also make the methylene protons nonequivalent. Owing to the complexity of the spectrum and the broadness of the lines (see below), the harrier could not be measured accurately: however an estimate at the coalesence temperature (-105°C) is  $\Lambda$ G<sup>†</sup> = 8 kcal/mole, i.e. similar to that in III\*\*, This suqgests that the higher harriers observed by Fletcher and Sutherland<sup>4</sup> (ca 11 kcal/mole)were indeed rotation and not inversion. We also studied the NMR spectrum of tetramethylhydrazine at low temperatures expecting the methyl groups to become non-equivalent; this, however, was not the case, no splitting being observed even at -150°C. The rotational harrier in II could well be due largely to steric effects.

The tertiary amine, dibenzylmethylamine (III) also exhibits slow nitrogen *inversion* at low temperature. *The* nethylene protons *occur AS a* broadened AB quartet at -150°C in vinyl chloride solution;  $\Delta v_{\text{AR}}$ = 29 Hz, J<sub>AR</sub>=11 Hz. At the coalaescence temperature (-137°C),  $\wedge$  = 6.5 kcal/mole. This is in good agreement with the harriers to inversion estimated for other tertiary amines in the gas phase $^6$  and with the values calculated $^3$  by the MINDO method, but it is significantly less than that  $(E_{\lambda} = 10.3$  kcal/mole) estimated by Saunders and Yamada $^7$  for III in aqueous solution. The difference is probably due to the effects of hydrogen bonding. $^{\rm 8}$ 

An unexpected observation, common to all the hvdrazines we have studied, is the marked broadening undergone at low temperatures by the signals for hydrogen atoms  $\alpha$  to nitrogen, or attached to nitrogen. This novel effect is due

<sup>\*\*</sup> The spectrum of 1,1-dibenzylhydrazine in CHFC1<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>2</sub>C1<sub>2</sub> at -120° shows the methylene protons as a broadened AB quartet;  $\Delta \tilde{\sigma}_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{n}}$ = 57 Hz;J $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{n}}$ = 12Hz. Comparison of observed and calculated line shapes at the coalescence temperature (-106°C) gave AG† = 8.0 kcal/mole.

neither to instrumental defects nor to viscosity of the solvent: for the TMS and other reference signals remained sharp. Nor can it be due to  $N^{14}$  quadrupole relaxation because this should become faster $^9$  at low temperature with a consequent narrowing of the proton signals. A similar hroadeninq of the methyl signals was observed previously<sup>10</sup> in the NMR spectra of certain dimethylaminoboranes at low temperatures; it was suggested that this might be due to slow rotation about CN honds. It is therefore clear that broadening of NCH<sub>2</sub> signals at low temperature, without ohservinq signal splittinq, cannot he taken as strong evidence that nitrogen inversion is becoming slow on the NMR time-scale.

Acknowledgement, This work was supported hy the Air Force Office of Scientific Research through Grant No. AF-AFOSR-1050-67. We wish to thank Dr. B. Shoulders and Dr. W.R. Jackson for helpful discussions and Dr. W.C. Thun, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, Calif., for a generous gift of tetranethylhydrazine.

## References

- $1.$  D.L. Griffith and J.D. Roberts, <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc</u>., <u>87</u>, 4089 (1965); M. Raban and G.W.J. Kenney, Jr., Tetrahedron Letters, 1295 (1969); S.J. Brois, ibid., 5997 (1968); G.J. Bishop, B.J. Price, and 1.0. Sutherland, Chem.Commun., 672 (1967); B.J. Price and 1.0. Sutherland, ibid., 1070 (1967).
- 2. M.J.S. Dewar and W.B. Jennings. J.Am.Chem.Soc., 91 3655 (1969).
- 3. M.J.S. Dewar and M. Shanshal, J.Am.Chem.Soc.,  $91$  3654 (1969).
- 4. J.R. Fletcher and I.O. Sutherland, Chen. Commun., 706 (1969).
- 5. D.C. McKean, Spectrochim. Acta, 10, 161 (1957); T. Kasuya and T. Kojima, J. Phys. Soc.Japan, 18, 364 (1963); A.H. Cowley, W.D. White, and M.C. Damasco,  $J.$ Am.Chem.Soc., 91 1922 (1969); M.S. Gordon, ibid., 91 3122 (1969).
- 6. G.W. Koeppl, D.S. Sagatys, G.S. Krishnamurthy, and S.I. Miller, <u>J.Am.Chem</u>.  $Soc., 89, 3396 (1967).$
- 7. M. Saunders, Maqnetic Resonance in Biological Svstems., Proceedinns of the Second International Conference, Stockholm 1966, 85 (1967). '4. Saunders and F. Yamada, <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc</u>., <u>85</u> 1882 (1963).
- 8. D.E. Leyden and W.R. Morgan, Chem. Commun., 598 (1969).
- 9. J.A. Pople, Mol.Phys., 1, 168 (1958); R.M Moriarty, J.P. Kim, S.J. Druck, and E. Lustig, Tetrahedron, 25, 1261 (1969).
- 10. M.J.S. Dewar and P. Rona, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 91 2259 (1969).